Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### NeuroImage



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

# Inter-individual variation in blood pressure is associated with regional white matter integrity in generally healthy older adults

David H. Salat <sup>a,b,c,d,\*</sup>, Victoria J. Williams <sup>a,b,c,e</sup>, Elizabeth C. Leritz <sup>a,b,c,d,e</sup>, David M. Schnyer <sup>f</sup>, James L. Rudolph <sup>b,e</sup>, Lewis A. Lipsitz <sup>d,g</sup>, Regina E. McGlinchey <sup>a,b,d</sup>, William P. Milberg <sup>a,b,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Neuroimaging Research for Veterans Center, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>b</sup> Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center (GRECC), VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>c</sup> MGH/MIT/HMS Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, MA, USA

<sup>d</sup> Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>e</sup> Division of Aging, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>f</sup> University of Texas, Austin TX, USA

<sup>g</sup> Hebrew Senior Life Institute for Aging Research, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Gerontology Division, Boston, MA, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 February 2011 Revised 30 May 2011 Accepted 12 July 2011 Available online 23 July 2011

#### ABSTRACT

Prior studies have documented a range of brain changes that occur as a result of healthy aging as well as neural alterations due to profound dysregulation in vascular health such as extreme hypertension, cerebrovascular disease and stroke. In contrast, little information exists about the more transitionary state between the normal and abnormal physiology that contributes to vascular disease and cognitive decline. Specifically, little information exists with regard to the influence of systemic vascular physiology on brain tissue structure in older individuals with low risk for cerebrovascular disease and with no evidence of cognitive impairment. We examined the association between resting blood pressure and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) indices of white matter microstructure in 128 healthy older adults (43–87 years) spanning the normotensive to moderate-severe hypertensive range. Mean arterial blood pressure (MABP) was related to diffusion measures in several regions of the brain with greatest associations in the anterior corpus callosum and lateral frontal, precentral, superior frontal, lateral parietal and precuneus white matter. Associations between white matter integrity and blood pressure remained when controlling for age, when controlling for white matter lesions, and when limiting the analyses to only normotensive, pharmacologically controlled and pre-hypertensive individuals. Of the diffusion measures examined, associations were strongest between MABP and radial diffusivity which may indicate that blood pressure has an influence on myelin structure. Associations between MABP and white matter integrity followed spatial patterns resembling those often attributed to the effects of chronological age, suggesting that systemic cerebrovascular health may play a role in neural tissue degeneration classically ascribed to aging. These results demonstrate the importance of the consideration of vascular physiology in studies of cognitive and neural aging, and that this significance extends to even the normotensive and medically controlled population. These data additionally suggest that optimal management of blood pressure may require consideration of the more subtle influence of vascular health on neural health in addition to the primary goal of prevention of a major cerebrovascular event.

Published by Elsevier Inc.

#### Introduction

A substantial literature exists describing the consequences of healthy aging (Kennedy and Raz, 2009a, 2009b; O'Sullivan et al., 2001; Pfefferbaum et al., 2000; Salat et al., 2005a, 2005b; Sullivan et al., 2001; Virta et al., 1999) as well as the impact of age-associated degenerative conditions including cerebrovascular disease, small

E-mail address: salat@nmr.mgh.harvard.edu (D.H. Salat).

vessel disease and stroke (de Laat et al., 2011; Gons et al., 2010; O'Sullivan, 2010; Pierpaoli et al., 1996, 1993) on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) measures of cerebral white matter structure. Similarly, important work has attempted to differentiate the effects of aging, vascular disease, and dementia on white matter structure (Lee et al., 2009; Yoshita et al., 2006). Although the effects of age and the effects of vascular disease are typically considered distinct, accumulating evidence suggests that biological factors that simply increase the *risk* for a cerebrovascular event also exert their own influence on brain tissue. For example, various composite metrics of stroke risk, most notably, the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile (D'Agostino et al., 1994) have been shown to be associated with brain tissue damage



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: MGH/MIT/HMS Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, MGH Dept. Radiology, Building 149, 13th St., Mail Code 149 (2301), Charlestown, MA 02129–2060. Fax: +1 617 726 7422.

<sup>1053-8119/\$ –</sup> see front matter. Published by Elsevier Inc. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.07.033

(Jeerakathil et al., 2004; Seshadri et al., 2004). The FSRP is a calculated score comprising several health factors and predicts an individual's 10 year risk of stroke. Of the risk factors contributing to the FSRP, hypertension is a highly prevalent condition in older adults. Recent estimates suggest that 11% of individuals 65-74 years of age, and 17% of individuals 75 and over are hypertensive (He et al., 2005). Hypertension alone is associated with a range of histopathologic damage (for review see (Manolio et al., 2003)) as well as increased white matter lesion volume measured on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) by white matter signal abnormalities (Longstreth et al., 1996). Given these intersecting factors, the dynamics between age and dementia-associated white matter lesions and microstructural damage has been an active area of investigation (Bastin et al., 2009; Benedetti et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2009). The diagnostic category of hypertension was conceptualized as a metric of risk for a cerebrovascular event. However, the specific diagnostic criteria for hypertension are somewhat arbitrary and more recent consensus groups have created additional classifications such as 'pre-hypertension' (Chobanian et al., 2003), an earlier stage of risk. It is thus unclear if hypertension per se is necessary for blood pressure to affect tissue structure, or if inter-individual variation in blood pressure is alone sufficient to impact neural health. Mild hypertension, controlled hypertension, and normal variation in blood and pulse pressure have all been shown to impact neural tissue (Breteler et al., 1994; DeCarli et al., 1995; Goldstein et al., 1998; Hoptman et al., 2009; Kennedy and Raz, 2009b; Leritz et al., 2010, 2011; Raz et al., 2005, 2003). It is possible that this effect is due to slow progressive degenerative processes, as prior studies have demonstrated that blood pressure measured in mid-life is associated with late-life white matter lesions (Swan et al., 1998). Overall, these studies are of great interest because they demonstrate that subtle inter-individual variation in systemic physiology may influence neural health in the absence of overt damage due to stroke or other large-scale cerebrovascular incident.

Prior studies have examined the association between blood pressure and white matter integrity in clinical populations (Barrick et al., 2010; Gons et al., 2010; Hannesdottir et al., 2009; Patel and Markus, 2011). However, to date, few studies have reported direct associations between blood pressure and white matter tissue structure measured with imaging procedures such as diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) in a normative sample. Kennedy and Raz (2009a, 2009b) found an association between pulse pressure and anterior white matter integrity in older normotensive individuals (Kennedy and Raz, 2009b). Similarly, Leritz and colleagues (Leritz et al., 2010) demonstrated an association between mean arterial blood pressure (MABP) and white matter integrity in the anterior but not posterior corpus callosum in a population of subclinical to mildly hypertensive older African Americans. These findings demonstrate that DTI may provide a sensitive metric of regionally specific tissue damage due to variation in vascular integrity. To date, no studies have described the whole brain regional associations between blood pressure and white matter tissue structure. Thus, it is unclear whether blood pressure may influence white matter structure outside of the anterior regions described in prior work.

We examined the relationship between MABP and white matter integrity in 128 generally healthy older adults with a focus on individuals in the normotensive to moderately hypertensive range. In contrast to prior work examining individuals categorized as 'hypertensive' or 'normotensive', we examined MABP as a quantitative variable across the full range of inter-individual variation in this population. We mapped the association between blood pressure and DTI-based measures of white matter integrity using complementary voxel-based and region of interest (ROI) procedures. Given the high prevalence of hypertension in older adults, it was hypothesized that blood pressure would be associated with white matter integrity in anterior brain regions, and that patterns would resemble those that have been traditionally ascribed to normal aging such as profound frontal white matter deterioration (O'Sullivan et al., 2001; Pfefferbaum et al., 2000; Salat et al., 2005a, 2005b; Sullivan et al., 2001). In particular, it is possible that myelin damage due to minor ischaemic events would manifest in an association between MABP and radial diffusivity, a putative marker of myelin damage (Sun et al., 2006). The results demonstrate strong associations between blood pressure and diffusion measures in several regions of the brain that are independent of age and apparent in even mild, controlled hypertensive individuals with a particular sensitivity of the radial diffusivity.

#### Methods

#### Participants

DTI and blood pressure data were acquired on 128 participants (78F/50M). Participants were recruited from two separate but overlapping studies examining how common cerebrovascular risk factors impact brain structure and cognition (Leritz et al., 2011). Thirty-four participants were recruited through the Harvard Cooperative Program on Aging (HCPA) Claude Pepper Older American Independence Center (OAIC). Participants in this program were recruited from the community in response to an advertisement appearing in the HCPA newsletter asking for healthy communitydwelling older African Americans. Eighty-one participants were recruited through the Understanding Cerebrovascular and Alzheimer's Risk in the Elderly (UCARE) program, a study investigating how factors relating to cerebrovascular health impact brain structure and cognition, and contribute to the risk for dementia (Leritz et al., 2011). Participants in this study were recruited through the Boston University Alzheimer's Disease Center (BUADC) based on the initial criteria of having a first-degree family relative with dementia. Participants were excluded if they had a history of head trauma of mild severity or greater according to the criteria of Fortuny et al. (Fortuny et al., 1980) (e.g., loss of consciousness for greater than 10 min), any history of more than one head injury, diagnosis of any form of dementia (i.e., Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia), any severe psychiatric illness, or any history of brain surgery. All participants were literate with at least a 6th grade education. Ninety-two of the participants were right-handed. Minimental state examination (MMSE) scores ranged from 23 to 30. These scores are in a range outside of a dementia diagnosis according to normative data for the demographic composition of the sample (Bohnstedt et al., 1994). Demographic and health characteristics of all participants are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

#### Blood pressure measurements

Systolic and diastolic blood pressures (BP) were carefully recorded as the average of four separate measurements to enhance the reliability of the metric. The first measure was acquired in seated position after 5 min of rest with the arm at rest at the level of the heart using a sphygmomanometer. A second measurement was obtained 5 min later and the average of two values was recorded. This same procedure was then repeated in standing position, yielding a total of four BP measurements: seated systolic and diastolic, as well as standing systolic and diastolic. Diagnostic categories followed current conventions of a systolic blood pressure of 120-139 as indicative of "mild" or "pre" hypertension, a systolic BP of 140-159 to be "Stage 1" hypertension, and a systolic BP of 160 or greater to be indicative of "Stage 2" (severe) hypertension. In our sample, thirty (25%) individuals maintained normal systolic levels, and fifty (39%) individuals would be classified as "pre-hypertension". Thirty-five (27%) would be classified as "Stage 1," and eleven (9%) would be classified as "Stage 2". Thus, 82 (64%) would be considered to have normal-mild BP readings (Fig. 1). Data were examined across the full range of variation, as well as in subgroups of the non-hypertensive

#### Table 1

Demographic and physiological data.

n = 128	Mean (Standard Deviation)
Demographics	
Age (vears)	67 94 (9 38)
Education (vears)	14.83 (2.64)
MMSF*	27.82 (1.82)
WIWDE	27.02 (1.02)
Blood pressure	
Mean systolic – sitting (mm Hg)	133.09 (18.16)
Mean systolic – standing (mm Hg)	128.27 (20.29)
Mean diastolic – sitting (mm Hg)	76.20 (9.07)
Mean diastolic - standing (mm Hg)	74.57 (10.00)
Mean arterial blood pressure (mm Hg)	95.16 (10.73)
r O)	
Serum cholesterol	
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	202.44 (41.37)
Low density lipoprotein (mg/dL)	118.61 (32.89)
High density lipoprotein (mg/dL)	61.60 (17.70)
Glucose	
Glycosylated hemoglobin - HA1c (%)	5.8 (0.7)
Percent of sample with HA1c above 7.0	8/128 (6.25%)
I	
Tobacco use	
Smokers/non smokers**	12/115 (9.45%)
	,

 $^{*}MMSE = mini-mental status examination (MMSE data were not available on 8 participants).$ 

\*\*Smoking status was unavailable for 1 participant.

and controlled and mild hypertensive individuals only. Data were examined as the mean arterial blood pressure (MABP=diastolic+[1/3(systolic-diastolic)]), as this measure is considered to be the perfusion pressure experienced by organs of the body and thus could be influential in tissue integrity. The distribution of relevant participant demographics is presented in Fig. 1.

#### Medication usage

Sixty-one individuals (48%) in our sample were taking BP medications (such as beta blockers, ace inhibitors, or calcium channel blockers). To examine the potential influence of medication on the results presented, individuals on and off medication were differentially represented in scatterplots, and medication use was considered in secondary analyses.

#### DTI acquisition

Global and regional WM integrity was assessed using DTI measures of fractional anisotropy (FA) and axial [L1] and radial [(L2 + L3)/2]diffusivity (the parallel and perpendicular diffusivities, respectively). The axial and radial diffusivities were examined as animal models suggest that these different diffusion parameters may be differentially sensitive to myelin and fiber pathology (Budde et al., 2009; Sun et al.,

## Table 2 \*Demographic and blood pressure measure by medication group.

	Medicated (N=61) Mean (SD)	Not medicated (N=65) Mean (SD)
Age	70.23 (7.64)	65.94 (10.49)
MMSE score	27.84 (1.74)	27.85 (1.91)
Mean systolic – sitting (mm Hg)	136.54 (16.06)	130.08 (19.75)
Mean systolic – standing (mm Hg)	133.24 (20.12)	123.81 (19.80)
Mean diastolic – sitting (mm Hg)	77.21 (8.08)	75.22 (9.99)
Mean diastolic – standing (mm Hg)	75.42 (9.94)	73.80 (10.13)
Mean arterial blood pressure	96.99 (8.97)	93.50 (12.12)
(mm Hg)		

\*2 participants have unknown BP medication status.



**Fig. 1.** Age distribution of individuals in the sample split by hypertensive medication usage (1.1) and by blood pressure status (1.2). Medication usage and diagnosis of hypertension was well distributed across the age-range examined.

2006). DTI acquisitions were performed with a twice-refocused spin echo single shot echo planar sequence to minimize eddy currentinduced image distortions (Reese et al., 2003) (Siemens Avanto; TR/TE = 7200/77 ms, b = 700 s/mm<sup>2</sup>, acquisition matrix =  $128 \times 128$ ,  $256 \times 256$  mm FOV, 2 mm slice thickness with 0-mm gap, 10 T2 + 60DWI; 64 oblique slices; total acquisition time 8 min 38 s) in 116 participants. The 60 diffusion weighted directions were obtained using the electrostatic shell method (Jones et al., 1999), providing a high signal-to-noise diffusion volume. Twelve of the participants were imaged early in the study on a Siemens Sonata scanner  $(TR/TE = 9000/68 \text{ ms}, b = 700 \text{ s/mm}^2, \text{ acquisition matrix} = 128 \times 128,$  $256 \times 256$  mm FOV, 2 mm slice thickness with 0-mm gap, 8T2 + 8averages of 6 DWI). These participants were not found to substantially affect the findings or conclusions from the work and were therefore included in the overall analyses. For all scans, the diffusion tensor was calculated on a voxel-by-voxel basis with conventional reconstruction methods (Basser et al., 1994).

#### DTI preprocessing and analysis: motion and eddy current correction

Preprocessing was performed with diffusion tools developed at the Martinos Center as part of the Freesurfer software package (http:// surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) as well as tools provided with the FSL processing suite (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk.ezp-prod1.hul.harvard. edu/fsl). Diffusion volumes were eddy current and motion corrected using FSL's Eddy Correct tool. The diffusion tensor was calculated for each voxel using a least-squares fit to the diffusion signal. The T2 weighted lowb volume was then skull stripped using FSL's Brain Extraction Tool (BET) (Smith, 2002), and this volume served as a brainmask for all other diffusion maps. The lowb structural volume was collected using identical sequence parameters as the directional volumes with no diffusion weighting, and was thus in complete register with the final diffusion maps. Maps for fractional anisotropy (FA), axial (DA) and radial (RD) diffusivity were entered in voxel-based analyses using the Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS) procedure for inter-participant spatial normalization (Smith et al., 2006).

#### Nonlinear registration and tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS)

Voxel-wise processing of the FA data was carried out using TBSS (Tract-Based Spatial Statistics)(Smith et al., 2006), part of FSL (Smith et al., 2004). In this procedure, all subjects' FA data were aligned into a common space using the nonlinear registration tool FNIRT (Andersson et al., 2007a, 2007b), which uses a b-spline representation of the registration warp field (Rueckert et al., 1999). The TBSS procedure next creates a mean FA image by averaging all participants' aligned FA maps, and then thresholds this average for voxels with an FA $\geq$ 0.2 to generate a mean FA skeleton which represents the centers of all tracts common to the group. This use of the FA skeleton helps avoid inclusion of regions that are likely composed of multiple tissue types or fiber orientations and may be susceptible to partial volume contamination. The next step in the TBSS processing is a projection of each participant's regional diffusion values to the appropriate location on the template skeleton, and this information was entered into voxel-wise group statistics. Data along the skeleton were smoothed utilizing an anatomical constraint to limit the smoothing to neighboring data within adjacent voxels along the skeleton. The exact transformations derived for the FA maps were applied to the other diffusion contrast volumes (axial/radial diffusivities) for matched processing of image volumes for each participant. Statistical maps were dilated from the TBSS skeleton for visualization purposes.

#### ROI analysis

Regions of interest (ROIs) limited to the TBSS skeleton were created using the combination of two white matter atlases. The first set of ROIs was generated using the Johns Hopkins University white matter labels, available as part of the FSL suite. The second ROI approach employed T1-based WM parcellations automatically created during the FreeSurfer processing stream (Salat et al., 2009)(Fig. 2). These regional measures were based on gyral folding patterns (Desikan et al., 2006) which were subsequently diffused from the cortex into the subjacent white matter, resulting in a white matter parcellation for each gyral label, unique to each individual's anatomy.

Registration of the T1 image to the lowb volume was performed using the FreeSurfer bbregister tool (Greve and Fischl, 2009), a novel procedure that utilizes tissue contrast (gray/white matter) as the basis of the registration cost function. White matter ROI values were extracted from voxels limited to the TBSS skeleton to correspond with voxel-based analyses and to reduce the influence of partial volume contamination. The ROI-segmented mean skeleton was deprojected from TBSS standard space to each participant's native diffusion volume using the inverse of the participant's transform to standard space to extract native values. An example of this procedure is presented in Fig. 2.

#### White matter hypointensity labeling

White matter hypointensities were labeled on T1 images using procedures for whole brain labeling described in prior work (Fischl et al., 2002), extended to the labeling of white matter lesions as applied in our previous work (Salat et al., 2010). A frequency map of regional hypointensity distribution was created in TBSS space to qualitatively assess the spatial distribution of effects of MABP relative to the distribution of overt white matter lesions.

#### Data analysis

Voxelwise general linear models (GLM) were performed to examine regional associations between MABP and white matter microstructure measures. Additional analyses examined the same associations in normotensive to mildly hypertensive individuals, as well as the association between MABP and white matter microstructure controlling for age. Secondary analyses examined the effects of several potentially important covariates on the associations measured in the anterior corpus callosum, including smoking, sex, education, body mass index, and total white matter lesion volume.

#### Results

Associations between blood pressure and DTI measures: TBSS voxelbased GLMs

Associations were found between MABP and FA in several regions throughout the cerebral white matter with strong bilateral effects in



Fig. 2. Tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) based ROI analysis procedure. A region of interest (ROI) template was co-registered with each individual's diffusion skeleton for the creation of multiple anatomically defined ROIs. Data extraction was performed in native voxel space and was limited to the voxels contributing to the TBSS skeleton to minimize the confounding effect of partial volume contamination on the regional measurements.

the corpus callosum (particularly anterior regions), parietal white matter (particularly inferior and superior lateral parietal and precuneus), and superior and lateral frontal white matter (Fig. 3). Regional definitions of significance clusters are presented in Table 3, with the size and minimum P value for each cluster, and a weighting score for each region that takes both cluster size and statistical significance into account. While FA and radial diffusivity had a similar spatial distribution of regional associations with MABP, axial diffusivity was associated with MABP to a lesser degree and in different regions including more posterior and periventricular white matter. The majority of regional associations remained when controlling for age, which only minimally affected the overall results.

## Associations between blood pressure and DTI measures: atlas-based ROI analysis

Table 4 presents associations between MABP and diffusion measures in anatomically defined ROIs using the JHU and Freesurfer

white matter atlases. These analyses matched the voxel-based results demonstrating strong, bilateral regional associations between MABP and FA and radial diffusivity in several large portions of frontal and parietal white matter. However, the anatomical ROI analysis demonstrated several additional regions throughout the cerebrum showing associations between MABP and white matter integrity including occipital, temporal, and deep white matter.

#### Influence of health and demographic variables

To examine the influence of health and demographic parameters on the associations between MABP and white matter microstructure, gender, body mass index (BMI), education, smoking status, and total white matter hypointensity volume were entered as nuisance covariates in individual general linear models of the association between MABP and DTI measures. Use of these covariates had minimal effect on the overall statistical effects demonstrated in Fig. 3 except for total white matter hypointensity volume which reduced but did not eliminate the regional associations between



**Fig. 3.** Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS) maps demonstrating regions with associations between mean arterial blood pressure (MABP) and white matter microstructural measures. The heat scale represents statistical P values as described in the figure color scale-bar. Associations were found throughout the cerebral white matter with prominent associations between MABP and white matter integrity in frontal and parietal areas for fractional anisotropy (FA) and radial diffusivity. In contrast, associations between MABP and axial diffusivity were found primarily in perventricular regions and orbitofrontal and medial temporal regions.

#### Table 3

Anatomic assignment of significance clusters from the TBSS voxel-based analysis of the

#### Table 4

Region of interest analyses using atlas-based labels.

Begion         Size         Minimum Poulie         Weight         P         Puside         P         Puside         P         Puside           Transmit         50.9         644.00         1         10.0	association between MADP and FA (Fig. 5, J	p<0.01; minimum cluster size		e 40 mm <sup>-</sup> ).	Region	FA		Radial c	liff.	Axial diff.	
Improvi         Produ         Produ           De-major         35         50.3         64.4         335.2           De-major         43         35.1         150.3         164.4         005         NS         NS           BB-midide comporal         40         35.8         130.20         B-procinaguistic         14.44         000         NS         NS         NS           BB-midide comporal         40         35.8         132.20         B-procinaguistic         14.44         000         NS	Region	Size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Minimum P value $(10^{-x})$	Weight <sup>*</sup>		F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value
The part rempond         19         5.01         644.08         10.10         10.10         000         NS         NS           Dendifie rempond         41         3.51         150.01         10.444         10.00         11.444         10.00         11.444         10.00         11.444         000         NS         NS           Be-middle tempond         40         3.51         150.01         10.444         10.00         10.445         000         NS         NS           Be-middle tempond         40         3.51         150.01         10.444         10.00         10.445         000         NS         NS           Be-middle temponic         11.38         0.01         0.64         2507.50         He-maximididifiermat         51.41         0.00         NS         NS           Be-partici particit laypramargini         200         64.74         213.30         He-maximididifiermat         61.31         0.05         NS         NS           Desportio particit         10.35         3.73         0.33         10.35         NS         NS         NS           Desportio particit         10.34         4.00         233.20         He-maximididifiermat         5.11         0.02         NS         NS <td>Tomporal</td> <td>(11111)</td> <td>(10)</td> <td></td> <td>Frontal</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Tomporal	(11111)	(10)		Frontal						
minimation emposition         17         444         938-22         Physical emposition         14.14         005         NS         NS           Bin-middle temporal         43         35.8         193.20         Physical emposition         14.24         000         NS         NS           Bin-middle temporal         43         35.8         193.20         Physical emposition         12.85         000         NS         NS           Partical         12.85         000         NS         NS         NS           Bin-precentral         12.85         000         NS         NS         NS           Bin-precentral         13.24         277.24         12.72.94         Di-strainfieldeformal         13.14         000         NS         NS           Bin-precentral         13.8         67.7         21.33.01         Di-superior         000         NS         NS           Bin-precentral         13.8         67.7         21.33.01         Di-superior         000         NS         NS           Bin-precentral         13.8         03.03         21.8         NS         NS         NS           Bin-precentral         13.8         03.00         13.8         03.00         13.8 <t< td=""><td>Rh-superior temporal</td><td>136</td><td>5.03</td><td>684.08</td><td>Lh-parstriangularis</td><td>21.016</td><td>.000</td><td>14.261</td><td>.000</td><td>NS</td><td>NS</td></t<>	Rh-superior temporal	136	5.03	684.08	Lh-parstriangularis	21.016	.000	14.261	.000	NS	NS
in-index         151         150.03         Phorestriangularis         14.45         400         6.00         4.07         6.07           Amide         Lip-presental         11.38         001         17.00         007         007           Amide         Samada         28.00         17.00         0.01	Lh-middle temporal	73	J.03 4 64	338 72	Lh-parsopercularis	15.161	.000	8.164	.005	NS	NS
menualitie temporal         40         5.8         14.200         bit precentral         12.86         001         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01           Period         Bit precentral         10.052         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         0.01         01.88         00.01         01.88         01.88	Lh-inferior temporal	43	3 51	150.93	Rh-parstriangularis	14.454	.000	14.746	.000	4.976	.027
Derivation         Data         Data         Dispanse percentaris         11.666         A01         10.88         NS         NS           Dispectances         370         6.44         267.04         Dispectances         0.032         0.007         RS         NS	Rh-middle temporal	40	3 58	143 20	Lh-precentral	12.862	.000	6.062	.015	NS	NS
prenari         Uncautal middle frontal         11.988         AU         NS					Rh-parsopercularis	11.666	.001	10.981	.001	NS	NS
Lindient/superior punctal         489         5.83         285.037         RB-catalamiddletronal         100.08         2007         7.094         .000         NS         NS           RB-percenteral         371         6.81         5.81         2172.04         Lb-isservinterial         100.08         207         7.096         .000         NS         NS           RB-percenterial         374         5.81         2172.04         Lb-isservinterial         7.733         .000         NS	Parietal				Lh-caudalmiddlefrontal	11.198	.001	NS	NS	NS	NS
Rip-protential         10,200         0.020         0.000         NS         NS           Rip-infering partiell         300         5.47         2133.00         Rip-infering partiell         0.000         NS         NS         NS           Dis-upperior partiell         310         5.47         2133.00         Rip-infering partiell         7222         0.000         NS         NS<	Lh-inferior/superior parietal	489	5.83	2850.87	Rh-caudalmiddlefrontal	10.658	.001	7.694	.006	NS	NS
Bip between the sector of the secto	Rh-precuneus	370	6.94	2567.80	Rh-precentral	10.320	.002	7.696	.006	NS	NS
Bri-interior parietal/supramarginal         390         5.47         213330         Bri-atterior formation         8.17         900         7.03         900         900	Rh-postcentral	374	5.81	2172.94	Lh-rostralmiddlefrontal	9.414	.003	8.958	.003	NS NG	NS NG
Lin-superior parietal 188 5.78 913.24 (Kappanetrical 722 002 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	Rh-inferior parietal/supramarginal	390	5.47	2133.30	Ln-lateralorDitoirontal	8.1/1	.005	7.056	.009	INS NG	INS NC
b.p. precennesis         132         4.72         6.2.3.04         Non-potential         7.3.3         0.8         Non-potential         7.3.3         0.8         Non-potential           D.p. potential         6.8         4.11         300.45         III. precential         5.3.3         0.01         5.7.3         0.01         7.03         0.06         0.05         7.03         0.06         0.05         7.03         0.06         0.05         7.03         0.06         0.05         7.03         0.06         0.05         0.06         0.05         0.06         0.05         0.06         0.05         0.06         0.05         0.02         0.05         0.06         0.05         0.02         0.02         0.05         0.02         0.05         0.02         0.02         0.05         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.05         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.03         0.	Lh-superior parietal	158	5.78	913.24	RII-Superioritorital	7.922	.006	7.819 NC	.000	INS NC	INS NC
Bit-precentage         131         4.09         535.79         Dispersion function of the precentage of the precent	Lh-precuneus	132	4.72	623.04	Lh superiorfrontal	7.755	.000	INS E 112	025	INS NC	IND NC
Li-postcentral         95         4.11         300.45         Number of the postcentral         10.15         No.8	Rh-precuneus	131	4.09	535.79	Dh. rostralmiddlofrontal	6.090	.009	7.052	.025	1070	046
h-precental         66         3.45         227.70         h-paronizital         78         NS         16.10         0.10         1.41         0.22           Rh-superior parielal         57         3.69         210.33         Rh-metal-orbitrional         NS         NS         7.633         0.07         7.588         0.070           Rh-interior parielal         56         3.44         192.24         Rh-metal-orbitris         NS         NS         5.570         0.20         4.020         0.471           Deparationalitis         168         5.22         876.66         110         1.645         0.005         4.902         0.29         NS         NS           Br-paronizitalitis         174         4.65         7.047         1.04-strumusingulate         6.30         0.05         4.902         0.04         NS         NS         1.040         NS         NS         1.019         0.04         NS	Lh-postcentral	95	4.11	390.45	The paracontral	5 272	.015	7.933 NIC	.000 NS	4.076 NC	.040 NC
bi-superior parietal         65         3.31         215.15         Ph-strateal/nethinformant         NS         NS         ND         7.623         007         4.036         047           Rh-inferior parietal         56         3.44         192.04         Rh-inferior parietal         NS         NS         NS         NS         NS         NS         S.570         0.20         4.020         0.477           Rh-inferior parietal         16         5.22         876.36         NH         NS         NS         S.577         0.20         4.020         0.477           Rh-inferior parietal         135         4.60         621.07         NH-inferior parietal         NS         NS         S.107         0.26         NS         NS           Lin-superior frontal         135         4.60         621.07         NH-interior parietal         NS         NS         S.107         0.26         NS         NS           Lin-superior frontal         153         3.30         2.313         Parietal         NS         NS <td< td=""><td>Lh-precuneus</td><td>66</td><td>3.45</td><td>227.70</td><td>Lii-paracentral</td><td>J.575 NS</td><td>NS</td><td>5 613</td><td>010</td><td>N3 5 /11</td><td>022</td></td<>	Lh-precuneus	66	3.45	227.70	Lii-paracentral	J.575 NS	NS	5 613	010	N3 5 /11	022
Rh-sperior parietal       57       3.69       21.03       nh-metajon-intorional       NS       NS       NS       NS       7.372       .006       7.288       .007         Lh-spranginal       42       4.29       180.18       NS       NS       NS       NS       NS       NS       5.370       .020       .4.020       .047         Lh-spranginal       164       5.22       976.06       7.288       .007       .006       7.288       .007         Rh-parsopercularis       164       5.22       976.06       7.287       .008       NS       NS<	Lh-superior parietal	65	3.31	215.15	Rh-lateralorhitofrontal	NS	NS	7 623	007	4.036	.022
Rh-inferior parietal         56         3.44         192.64         Rh-parsorbitalis         NS         NS         5.370         0.20         4.020         0.471           Lin-supramarginal         42         4.29         180.18         NS         NS         5.370         0.20         4.020         0.4020         0.803         0.005         4.002         0.803         0.005         0.00         8.020         0.005         NS	Rh-superior parietal	57	3.69	210.33	Rh-medialorbitofrontal	NS	NS	7 732	.007	7 588	007
Lh-appropriate         Line	Rh-inferior parietal	56	3.44	192.64	Rh-narsorbitalis	NS	NS	5 570	020	4 020	047
Printal         Cingular         0.00         4.00         0.00         8.00         0.00         8.00         0.00         8.00         0.00         NS	Lh-supramarginal	42	4.29	180.18	ini pursorbitunis	110	115	5.570	.020	1.020	.017
Instrume         Frank         Line Statement (squalate         8.003         .005         4.902         .202         NS         NS         NS           Be-parsing (squalatis)         174         4.05         704.70         Rine statement (squalate)         6.14         NS	Frontal				Cingulum						
Line protectingulate         Class         Class </td <td>Frontai</td> <td>160</td> <td>5 22</td> <td>976.06</td> <td>Lh-isthmuscingulate</td> <td>8.003</td> <td>.005</td> <td>4.902</td> <td>.029</td> <td>NS</td> <td>NS</td>	Frontai	160	5 22	976.06	Lh-isthmuscingulate	8.003	.005	4.902	.029	NS	NS
Interparticipation         1.74         4.00         OUT, 00         Rh-caudalanteriorcingulate         S.71         0.20         R.8         NS	LII-parsopercularis	108	5.ZZ 4.05	876.96	Lh-posteriorcingulate	6.149	.014	NS	NS	5.313	.023
Driving matrix model         1.32         2.00         Differential         1.37         4.19         57.400         NS         NS         5.107         0.26         NS         NS           Lin-superior frontal         1.33         3.89         522.15         Rh-rostralametrioricingulate         NS         NS         1.019         0.02         8.290         0.05           Lin-precentral         1.64         3.13         513.32         Partial         NS         NS         1.019         0.02         8.290         0.05           Rin-parsopercularis         9.44         3.84         360.96         Hi-Inferiorparietal         17.56         0.00         7.366         0.08         NS         NS         NS           Rin-parsopercularis         9.92         3.37         2265.23         Lin-precentral         15.077         0.00         5.657         0.19         NS         NS           Rin-parsopercularis         5.7         2.91         NS         NS <td>Lh-rostral middle frontal</td> <td>174</td> <td>4.05</td> <td>621.00</td> <td>Rh-caudalanteriorcingulate</td> <td>5.571</td> <td>.020</td> <td>8.370</td> <td>.004</td> <td>NS</td> <td>NS</td>	Lh-rostral middle frontal	174	4.05	621.00	Rh-caudalanteriorcingulate	5.571	.020	8.370	.004	NS	NS
Inter-spectral matrix         1.1         2.1 <th2.1< th="">         2.1         <th2.1< th=""></th2.1<></th2.1<>	Rh-superior frontal	135	4.00	574.03	Lh-rostralanteriorcingulate	NS	NS	5.107	.026	NS	NS
Drepresentation         124         3.13         513.22           Lip-presentation         125         3.99         449.75         Ri-Inferiorparie1         19.087         000         6.250         .014         NS         NS           Lip-presentation         88         5.09         447.92         Rit-preconcus         19.087         000         6.250         .014         NS         NS           Rit-presentation         94         3.84         360.96         Li-inferiorparie1al         17.95         000         5.62         .018         NS         NS           Rit-presentation         99         3.37         226.63         Rit-presentation         15.077         000         5.627         .018         NS         NS           Rit-caudal middle frontal         69         3.27         226.63         Rit-presentral         13.669         000         5.527         .028         NS         NS           Rit-presentation         57         2.96         168.72         Lit-presentation         1.1.440         .001         10.252         .002         NS         NS           Rit-parsopercularis         57         2.91         165.87         Lit-presentation         .011.025         .000         NS	I h-superior frontal	135	3.89	525.15	Rh-rostralanteriorcingulate	NS	NS	10.199	.002	8.290	.005
In protition         107         2.02         Parietal           Lip-presental         150         3.99         498,77         Rb-Inferioparietal         19.087         000         6.250         0.14         NS         NS           Rip-parstrangularis         94         3.84         360.96         Lh-inferioparietal         17.976         000         5.722         .008         NS         NS           Rip-superior frontal         89         3.92         346.88         Lh-superiorparietal         17.526         000         5.627         .019         NS         NS           Rin-cadal middle frontal         69         3.27         2225.63         Rh-postcentral         15.023         .000         11.964         .01         NS         NS           Rh-precentral         57         2.96         168.87         Lh-procentral         15.023         .000         11.964         .01         NS         NS           Rh-precentral         57         2.91         165.87         Lh-superioparietal         10.710         .001         6.253         .000         NS         NS           Rh-superior frontal         50         2.77         155.12         Rh-superior fortal         .070/or corpuscillosm         10.710	Rh-precentral	164	3.13	513 32							
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{h-precentral} & 88 & 509 & 447.92 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 94 & 3.84 & 360.96 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 91 & 3.37 & 226.23 \\ \mbox{Lh-precuncus} & 15.07 & 000 & 5.72 & 0.18 & NS & NS \\ \mbox{Rh-caudal middle frontal} & 69 & 3.27 & 225.63 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 57 & 3.87 & 220.59 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 57 & 2.96 & 166.72 \\ \mbox{Lh-parsopercularis} & 57 & 2.96 & 166.72 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 57 & 2.96 & 166.72 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 57 & 2.96 & 166.72 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 53 & 2.77 & 115.12 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 53 & 2.77 & 115.12 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 53 & 2.77 & 115.12 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 53 & 2.81 & 144.93 \\ \mbox{Rh-parsopercularis} & 53 & 2.81 & 144.93 \\ \mbox{Rh-adal final diel frontal} & 40 & 3.13 & 125.20 \\ \mbox{Rh-adation} & 75 & 2.93 & 224.06 \\ \mbox{Rh-adation} & 75 & 2.93 & 224.06 \\ \mbox{Rh-hateral occipital} & 106 & 2.68 & 224.06 \\ \mbox{Rh-hateral occipital} & 106 & 2.68 & 224.06 \\ \mbox{Rh-hateral occipital} & 106 & 2.68 & 224.06 \\ \mbox{Rh-hateral occipital} & 57 & 3.93 & 224.01 \\ \mbox{Rh-hateral occipital} & 106 & 2.68 & 224.02 \\ \mbox{Rh-hateral occipital} & 57 & 3.93 & 224.01 \\ \mbox{Rh-hateral occipital} & 57 & 3.93 & 224.05 \\ \mbox{Rh-hateral occipital} & 57 & 3.93 & 224.05 \\ \mbox{Rh-haterior-cornelalusm} & 1.542 & 0.01 & 7.592 & 0.06 & NS & NS \\ \mbox{Rh-hateral occipital} & 168 & 3.72 & 125.52 \\ \mbox{Rh-haterior-cornon radiata} & 0.16 & 6.749 & 0.10 & NS & NS \\ \mbox{Rh-haterior-cornon radiata} & 0.16 & 6.749 & 0.10 & NS & NS \\ \mbox{Rh-haterior-cornon radiata} & 0.16 & 6.749 & 0.10 & NS & NS \\ \mbox{Rh-haterior-cornon radiata} & 0.16 & 6.749 & 0.01 & NS & NS \\ \mbox{Rh-haterior-cornon radiata} & 156 & 3.92 & 116.32 \\ \mbox{Rh-haterior-cornon radiata} & 0.16 & 6.749 & 0.01 & NS & NS \\ Rh-haterior-corno$	I h-parstriangularis	125	3.00	498 75	Parietal						
Rh-parsoperularis       94       354       360.96       Rh-precuneus       18.945       0.00       7.227       0.08       NS       NS         Rh-parsoperularis       9       3.57       266.23       Lh-superior fontal       7.706       0.00       7.306       0.08       NS       NS       NS         Rh-audal middle fontal       69       3.27       225.63       Rh-precuncus       15.077       0.00       5.537       0.00       5.537       0.00       NS       NS         Rh-precuncus       13.669       0.00       5.527       0.00       NS       NS       NS         Rh-precuncus       13.669       0.00       5.527       0.00       NS       NS       NS         Rh-precuncus       13.66       0.00       5.527       0.00       NS       NS       NS         Rh-precuncus       5.77       2.91       165.87       Lh-suparior parietal       9.714       0.01       6.705       0.11       NS       NS         Rh-storal middle fontal       40       3.13       125.00       26462       0.00       26.583       0.00       NS       NS         Rh-lateral occipital       82       4.25       348.50       peduncle	I h-precentral	88	5.09	430.73	Rh-Inferiorparietal	19.087	.000	6.250	.014	NS	NS
Rh-superior frontal       89       3.92       348.88       Lh-inferiorparietal       17.756       0.00       7.306       0.08       NS       NS         Lh-precentral       79       3.37       226.23       Lh-precuneus       15.072       0.00       5.762       0.18       NS       NS         Rh-acudal middle frontal       69       3.27       225.63       Rh-postcentral       15.023       0.00       15.64       .001       NS       NS         Rh-precentral       457       3.87       220.59       Rh-superangrinal       13.669       .000       5.527       .020       NS       NS         Rh-precentral       1.44       0.01       10.225       .002       NS       NS       NS         Rh-superior frontal       56       2.77       155.12       Rh-superiorparietal       9.714       .002       NS       NS       NS       NS         Rh-tarctal indidle frontal       40       3.13       125.20 <i>Deepiother</i> Rh-tareal occipital       1.642       .001       2.653       .000       NS       NS<	Rh-parsopercularis	94	3.84	360.96	Rh-precuneus	18.945	.000	7.227	.008	NS	NS
Lh-precentral       79       3.37       266.23       Lh-superiorparietal       17.526       0.00       5.762       0.18       NS       NS         Rh-caudal middle frontal       69       3.27       225.63       Lh-precuneus       15.073       0.00       5.762       0.18       NS       NS         Rh-precentral       57       3.87       220.59       Rh-superiorparietal       15.023       0.00       5.762       0.01       NS       NS         Lh-paracentral       45       3.84       172.80       Rh-superiorparietal       10.710       0.01       6.705       0.11       NS       NS       NS         Lh-parsopercularis       53       2.81       148.93       Peeplother       Eenu-of-corpus-callosum       15.42       0.00       NS       NS       NS       NS         Rh-bateral occipital       82       4.25       348.50       Peelpother       Eenu-of-corpus-callosum       15.42       0.01       7.959       0.06       NS       NS       NS         Lh-bateral occipital       52       2.93       219.75       Formix-columan-and-body       6.266       1.04       NS       NS       NS       NS       NS         Lh-bateral occipital       52 <t< td=""><td>Rh-superior frontal</td><td>89</td><td>3.92</td><td>348.88</td><td>Lh-inferiorparietal</td><td>17.796</td><td>.000</td><td>7.306</td><td>.008</td><td>NS</td><td>NS</td></t<>	Rh-superior frontal	89	3.92	348.88	Lh-inferiorparietal	17.796	.000	7.306	.008	NS	NS
Bh-catal middle frontal         69         3.27         22.5 (3)         Lh-precluus         15.077         000         5.657         0.19         NS         NS           Rh-precentral         57         3.87         220.59         Rh-postcentral         15.077         000         5.527         0.20         NS         NS           Rh-precentral         45         3.84         172.80         Hh-postcentral         11.440         001         10.22         002         NS         NS           Rh-precentral         56         2.77         155.12         Rh-supranginal         10.710         001         6.705         0.11         NS         NS           Rh-rostral middle frontal         40         3.13         125.20         NS	Lh-precentral	79	3.37	266.23	Lh-superiorparietal	17.526	.000	5.762	.018	NS	NS
Rh-precentral       57       3.87       220.59       Rh-precentral       15.023       .001       11.964       .001       NS       NS         Lh-paracentral       45       3.84       172.80       Rh-superior       11.464       .001       NS       NS       NS         Rh-precentral       57       2.91       165.87       Lh-paragentral       10.10       .001       .025       .002       NS       NS         Rh-superior frontal       50       2.81       146.93       Rh-superior callosum       12.42       .001       NS       NS       NS       NS         Rh-ateral occipital       62       4.25       348.50       Deepiother       Cenu-decentel       9.219       .003       4.734       .031       NS       NS         Rh-lateral occipital       52       2.93       219.75       Peduncle       .016       6.749       .010       NS       NS       NS         Lh-posterior thalamic radiation       75       2.93       219.75       Peduncle       .014       4.727       .032       4.137       .044         Lh-posterior thalamic radiation       75       2.93       219.55       Rh-anterior-corona radiata       6.016       .6.749       .010       NS<	Rh-caudal middle frontal	69	3.27	225.63	Lh-precuneus	15.077	.000	5.657	.019	NS	NS
Lh-paracentral453.84172.00Kh-symparinarginal13.069J.0005.327J.020NSNSRh-paracentral572.96166.72Lh-postcentral11.40.00110.252.002NSNSRh-superior frontal562.77155.12Lh-soptcentral11.40.0016.705.011NSNSRh-parsopercularis532.81148.93Rh-superior forntal403.13125.20Cenu-of-corpus-callosum26.462.00026.583.000NSNSOccipital824.25348.50peduncle.011NSNSNSNSIh-hateral occipital573.93224.01peduncle.002.014NSNSNSLh-posterior thalamic radiation752.93219.75Peduncle.014.777.0324.137.044 <i>Poptother</i> 11.433.72125.5511anterior-corona radiata6.018.0166.749.010NSNSBody/Genu of corpus callosum32956.8922702.55Rh-uncinate-fasciculus5.207.024NSNSNSNSIh-anterior corona radiata2153.3611150.531158.85.0005.438.016NSNSNSNSDody/Genu of corpus callosum3163.63544.50.020.024NSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNS <td>Rh-precentral</td> <td>57</td> <td>3.87</td> <td>220.59</td> <td>Rh-postcentral</td> <td>15.023</td> <td>.000</td> <td>11.964</td> <td>.001</td> <td>NS</td> <td>NS</td>	Rh-precentral	57	3.87	220.59	Rh-postcentral	15.023	.000	11.964	.001	NS	NS
Rh-precentral         57         2.96         18.872         Lh-supramaginal         11.440         JU1         10.252         JU2         NS         NS           Lh-parsopercularis         57         2.91         165.87         Lh-supramaginal         9.714         JU2         NS         NS         NS         NS         NS           Rh-superior frontal         66         2.77         155.12         Rh-superiorparietal         9.714         JU2         NS	Lh-paracentral	45	3.84	172.80	Rh-supramarginal	13.669	.000	5.527	.020	NS	NS
In-parsopercularis572.91165.87In-superior parietal10.71010.7006.705.011NSNSRh-superior fontal562.77155.12Rh-superiorparietal9.714.002NSNSNSNSRh-parsopercularis532.81148.93Deep/otherCcipital9.714.0017.959.006NSNSNSRh-ateral occipital403.13125.20Deep/otherCcipital0.11NSNSNSNSRh-lateral occipital1062.68284.08Rh-inferior-cerebellar- peduncle0.11NSNSNSNSNSRh-lateral occipital413.72152.52Fornik-column-and-body6.266.0144.727.0324.137.044Lh-ateral occipital413.72152.52Fornik-column-and-body6.267.0028.482.0046.158.014Lh-ateral occipital113.721158.85Rh-anterior-corona radiata5.567.0208.482.0046.158.014Lh-ateral occipital113.163.011109.53Rh-anterior-corona radiata5.567.0208.482.0046.158.014Lh-external capsule1133.645496.42.037NS<	Rh-precentral	57	2.96	168.72	Lh-postcentral	11.440	.001	10.252	.002	NS	NS
Rh-superior frontal       56       2.77       155.12       Rh-superior frontal       9.714       .002       NS       NS       NS       NS         Rh-parsopercularis       53       2.81       148.93         Rh-rostral middle frontal       40       3.13       125.20         Occipital       80dy-0f-corpus-callosum       11.542       .000       26.583       .000       NS       NS </td <td>Lh-parsopercularis</td> <td>57</td> <td>2.91</td> <td>165.87</td> <td>Lh-supramarginal</td> <td>10./10</td> <td>.001</td> <td>6.705</td> <td>.011 NC</td> <td>NS NC</td> <td>NS NC</td>	Lh-parsopercularis	57	2.91	165.87	Lh-supramarginal	10./10	.001	6.705	.011 NC	NS NC	NS NC
http://arsopercularis       53       2.81       148.93       Deep/other         Rh-arsopercularis       40       3.13       125.20       Genu-of-corpus-callosum       26.462       0.00       26.583       0.00       NS       NS         Occipital       106       2.68       24.25       348.00       Rh-inferior-cerebellar-       9.219       .003       4.74       0.31       NS       NS         Lh-lateral occipital       106       2.68       284.08       Peduncle       Lh-inferior-cerebellar-       0.210       .014       NS       NS       NS       NS         Lh-posterior thalamic radiation       75       2.93       219.75       Fornix-column-and-body       6.266       .014       4.727       .032       4.137       .044         Lh-posterior thalamic radiation       45       2.79       125.55       Rh-anterior-corona radiata       5.076       .020       8.482       .004       6.158       .014         Lh-anterior corona radiata       215       5.39       1158.85       1h-sucriate-fasciculus       5.076       .020       8.482       .004       6.158       .014         Lh-anterior corona radiata       215       5.39       1158.85       1h-sucrinate-fasciculus       5.076       .0	Rh-superior frontal	56	2.77	155.12	KII-Superiorparietai	9.714	.002	INS	INS	INS	INS
Rh-rostral middle frontal         40         3.13         125.20         DeepJonder Genu-of-corpus-callosum         26.462         0.00         26.583         0.00         NS         NS           Occipital         82         4.25         348.50         peduncle         9.219         0.03         4.734         0.31         NS         NS           Lh-lateral occipital         57         3.93         224.01         peduncle         -<	Rh-parsopercularis	53	2.81	148.93	Deen/other						
Occipital         Body-Corpus - Groups - Gallosum         20-402         .000         20-393         .000         NS         NS           Rh-lateral occipital         106         2.68         2.84.08         Body-Corpus - Gallosum         1.1242         .001         4.734         .031         NS         NS           Lh-lateral occipital         106         2.68         2.84.08         Lh-inferior-cerebellar-         9.219         .003         4.734         .031         NS         NS           Lh-posterior thalamic radiation         75         2.93         219.75         peduncle         .014         NS         NS         NS         NS           Deep/other         52.79         125.55         Rh-anterior-corona radiata         5.567         .020         8.482         .004         6.158         .014           Lh-external capsule         181         6.13         1109.53         Rh-uncinate-fasciculus         5.200         .024         NS         NS         NS           Lh-external capsule         181         6.13         1109.53         Rh-external-capsule         4.195         .043         5.949         .016         NS         NS           Lh-external capsule         181         6.13         1109.53         Rh-e	Rh-rostral middle frontal	40	3.13	125.20	Copy of corpus collosum	26 462	000	26 5 9 2	000	NC	NC
Occipital Rh-lateral occipital         82         4.25         348.50 (Rh-inferior-cerebellar- peduncle         9.219         .003         4.734         .001         NS         NS           Lh-lateral occipital         106         2.68         284.08         Lh-inferior-cerebellar- peduncle         6.261         .014         NS         NS         NS         NS           Lh-posterior thalamic radiation         75         2.93         219.75         Fornix-column-and-body         6.261         .014         4.727         .032         .4137         .044           Lh-posterior thalamic radiation         45         2.79         125.55         Fornix-column-and-body         6.256         .014         4.727         .032         4.137         .044           Lh-anterior corona radiata         215         5.39         1158.85         .014         Lh-superior-fronto- 4.450         .037         NS					Body-of-corpus-callosum	11 542	001	7 959	.000	NS	NS
Rh-lateral occipital824.25348.50Instruct Central peduncleSoldInstructInstru	Occipital				Rh-inferior-cerebellar-	9219	003	4 734	031	NS	NS
Lh-lateral occipital1062.68284.081062.68284.08107NS <td>Rh-lateral occipital</td> <td>82</td> <td>4.25</td> <td>348.50</td> <td>peduncle</td> <td>5.215</td> <td>.005</td> <td>1.751</td> <td>.051</td> <td>110</td> <td>110</td>	Rh-lateral occipital	82	4.25	348.50	peduncle	5.215	.005	1.751	.051	110	110
Lh-lateral occipital573.93224.01pedunclenumber of the number of th	Lh-lateral occipital	106	2.68	284.08	Lh-inferior-cerebellar-	6.261	.014	NS	NS	NS	NS
Lh-posterior thalamic radiation752.93219,25Fornix-column-and-body6.2560.144.7270.324.137.044Rh-lateral occipital413.72152.52125.556.0144.7270.324.137.044Lh-posterior thalamic radiation452.79125.556.0144.7270.324.137.044Deep/otherBody/Cenu of corpus callosum32956.8922702.558.482.0046.158.014Body/Cenu of corpus callosum2963.921160.325.078.026NSNSNSNSLh-anterior corona radiata2155.391158.85Lh-superior-fronto-4.450.037NSNSNSNSLh-anterior corona radiata1513.64549.641-external-capsule4.195.0435.949.016NSNSNSSplenium of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Rh-external-capsuleNSNSNSNSNSNSSold of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Rh-bankssts18.880.0005.848.017NSNSSplenium of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Rh-bankssts18.869.0045.439.021NSNSIh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle543.79204.66Rh-bankssts7.904.0065.444.035NSNSLh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle492.86140.14	Lh-lateral occipital	57	3.93	224.01	peduncle						
Kh-lateral occipital41 $3.72$ $152.52$ Lh-anterior-corona radiata $6.018$ $0.16$ $6.749$ $0.10$ NSNSDepsterior thalamic radiation $45$ $2.79$ $125.55$ Lh-anterior-corona radiata $5.567$ $0.20$ $8.482$ $0.04$ $6.158$ $0.14$ Deep/other $5004$ //Genu of corpus callosum $3295$ $6.89$ $22702.55$ Lh-anterior-corona radiata $5.567$ $0.20$ $8.482$ $0.04$ $6.158$ $0.14$ Ih-anterior corona radiata $215$ $5.39$ $1158.85$ $5.92$ $0.024$ NSNSNSNSNSLh-acterior corona radiata $215$ $5.39$ $1158.85$ $116-82$ $0.37$ NSNSNSNSNSLh-bankssts $169$ $4.58$ $774.02$ $116-836$ $14-external-capsule$ $4.195$ $0.43$ $5.949$ $0.16$ NSNSNSSplenium of corpus callosum $112$ $3.64$ $594.664$ $774.02$ $7.904$ $0.06$ $5.439$ $0.21$ NSNSSplenium of corpus callosum $111$ $3.16$ $350.76$ $Rh-midletemporal8.8800.0045.4390.016NSNSNSRh-posterior corona radiata774.46334.427.9040.064.5440.35NSNSRh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-midletemporal6.9330.005.8480.17NSNSRh-aterior$	Lh-posterior thalamic radiation	75	2.93	219.75	Fornix-column-and-body	6.256	.014	4.727	.032	4.137	.044
Lh-posterior thalamic radiation452.79125.55Rh-anterior-corona radiata5.5670.208.482.0046.158.014Deep/otherBody/Genu of corpus callosum $3295$ 6.8922702.55I.h-uncinate-fasciculus5.078.026NSNSNSNSRh-posterior thalamic radiation2963.921160.32.027.0430.37NSNSNSNSLh-external capsule1816.131109.53I.h-external-capsule4.195.0435.949.016NSNSLh-bankssts1694.58774.02I.h-external-capsuleNSNS4.109.045NSNSLh-anterior limb of internal capsule1503.63544.50I.h-bankssts18.880.0005.848.017NSNSNSSplenium of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Rh-bankssts18.880.0005.848.017NSNSNSRh-posterior crona radiata774.46343.42Rh-middletemporal6.933.010NSNSNSNSNSRh-posterior corona radiata772.86140.14Rh-entorhinalNSNSNSNSNSNSNSLh-cerebral peduncle543.79204.66Rh-middletemporal6.933.010NSNSNSNSNSLh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle482.80134.40NSNSNSNS	Rh-lateral occipital	41	3.72	152.52	Lh-anterior-corona radiata	6.018	.016	6.749	.010	NS	NS
Deep/other         Lh-uncinate-fasciculus         5.200         .024         NS         NS         NS         NS           Body/Genu of corpus callosum         3295         6.89         22702.55         hanterior corona radiata         215         5.39         1160.32         0.024         NS         NS         NS         NS         NS           Lh-anterior corona radiata         215         5.39         1158.85         Lh-external-capsule         4.195         .043         5.949         .016         NS	Lh-posterior thalamic radiation	45	2.79	125.55	Rh-anterior-corona radiata	5.567	.020	8.482	.004	6.158	.014
<tbody callosum<="" corpus="" genu="" of="" td="">32956.8922702.55Rh-uncinate-fasciculus5.078.026NSNSNSNSRh-posterior thalamic radiation2963.921160.32.0ccipital-fasciculus.037NSNSNSNSLh-anterior corona radiata2155.391158.85Lh-superior-fronto- occipital-fasciculus4.195.0435.949.016NSNSNSLh-bankssts1694.58774.02Lh-external-capsule4.195.0435.949.016NSNSNSLh-posterior cingulated1513.64549.64TemporalLh-bankssts18.880.0005.848.017NSNSNSSplenium of corpus callosum1123.78423.36Lh-middletemporal8.695.0045.439.021NSNSNSRh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-bankssts7.904.0064.544.035NSNSNSRh-tapetum594.65274.35Lh-entorhinalNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSLh-cerebral peduncle543.79204.66Rh-temporalpoleNSNSNSNSNS.01277.002Lh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle482.80134.40Rh-entorhinalNSNSNSNS.01277.002Lh-superior corona radiata462.59119.14.0ccipitalNSNS<!--</td--><td>Deen/othen</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Lh-uncinate-fasciculus</td><td>5.200</td><td>.024</td><td>NS</td><td>NS</td><td>NS</td><td>NS</td></tbody>	Deen/othen				Lh-uncinate-fasciculus	5.200	.024	NS	NS	NS	NS
body Genu of corpus callosum32530.6522702.53Lh-superior-fronto- occipital-fasciculus4.450.037NSNSNSNSRh-posterior thalamic radiata2155.391160.32occipital-fasciculusoccipital-fasciculus0.037NSNSNSNSNSLh-external capsule1816.131109.53Lh-external-capsule4.195.0435.949.016NSNSNSLh-bankssts1694.58774.02NSNS4.109.045NSNSNSLh-posterior cingulated1513.64549.64TemporalLh-bankssts18.880.0005.848.017NSNSSplenium of corpus callosum1123.78423.36Lh-middletemporal8.695.0045.439.021NSNSNSRh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-bankssts7.904.0064.544.035NSNSNSRh-tapetum594.65274.35Lh-entorhinalNSNSNSNSNSNSNSLh-cerebral peduncle543.79204.66Rh-entorhinalNSNSNSNS.027.002Lh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle482.80134.40NSNSNSNS.0277.002Lh-superior corona radiata462.59119.14OccipitalNSNSNSNSNSNSNS<	Podu/Copy of corpus collosum	2205	6.90	22702 55	Rh-uncinate-fasciculus	5.078	.026	NS	NS	NS	NS
In-posterior corona radiata2155.391158.85occipital-fasciculusLh-anterior corona radiata2155.391158.85Lh-external-capsule4.195.0435.949.016NSNSLh-Bankssts1694.58774.02NSNS4.109.045NSNSNSLh-posterior cingulated1513.64549.64TemporalNSNS4.109.045NSNSNSSplenium of corpus callosum1123.78423.36Lh-bankssts18.880.0005.848.017NSNSNSBody of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Lh-bankssts18.880.0064.544.035NSNSNSRh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-bankssts7.904.0064.544.035NSNSNSLh-cerebral peduncle543.79204.66Rh-temporal6.933.010NSNSNSNSNSNSLh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle482.80134.40Rh-entorhinalNSNSNSNSNS.016NSNSNSLh-superior corona radiata462.59119.14Occipital8.560.004NSNSNSNS.018Weighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipital8.560.004NSNSNSNSNSNSNSNS<	Rh-posterior thalamic radiation	206	3.02	1160 32	Lh-superior-fronto-	4.450	.037	NS	NS	NS	NS
Lh-anterior conditation conditation2133.531135.53Lh-305Lh-external-capsule4.195.0435.949.016NSNSNSLh-bankssts1694.58774.02NSNSNS4.109.045NSNSNSLh-anterior limb of internal capsule1503.63544.50TemporalLh-anterior limb of internal capsule1503.63544.50Lh-bankssts18.880.0005.848.017NSNSNSSplenium of corpus callosum1123.78423.36Lh-bankssts18.880.0064.544.035NSNSNSBody of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Rh-bankssts7.904.0064.544.035NSNSNSRh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-bankssts7.904.0064.544.035NS <t< td=""><td>Lh_apterior corona radiata</td><td>230</td><td>5 30</td><td>1158.85</td><td>occipital-fasciculus</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Lh_apterior corona radiata	230	5 30	1158.85	occipital-fasciculus						
In Contrained particle16161.73110110110110110110110110110110110110110110116350.76Rh-external-capsule18.880.0005.848.017NSNSNSBody of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Rh-bankssts18.880.0064.544.035NSNSRh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-middletemporal6.933.010NSNSNSNSRh-tapetum594.65274.35Rh-entorhinalNSNS7.327.0085.118.025Lh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle543.79204.66Rh-temporalpoleNSNSNSNSNS10.277.002Lh-superior corona radiata462.59119.14OccipitalNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNS* Weighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipital8.560.004NSNSNSNSNSNSNSNS* Weighting column calculated by as a comparative metric among regional effects119.14022NSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNS<	Lh-anterior corona radiata	181	613	1109 53	Lh-external-capsule	4.195	.043	5.949	.016	NS	NS
In Jambasis1031.501.74.02Lh-posterior cingulated1513.64549.64Lh-anterior limb of internal capsule1503.63544.50Splenium of corpus callosum1123.78423.36Body of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Rh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-tapetum594.65274.35Lh-cerebral peduncle543.79Lh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle492.86Lh-superior corona radiata462.59Lh-superior corona radiata462.59Lh-superior corona radiata462.59KWeighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipitalKWeighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipitalKWeighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipitalKK <td>Lh-Caternal capsuic</td> <td>169</td> <td>4 58</td> <td>774.02</td> <td>Rh-external-capsule</td> <td>NS</td> <td>NS</td> <td>4.109</td> <td>.045</td> <td>NS</td> <td>NS</td>	Lh-Caternal capsuic	169	4 58	774.02	Rh-external-capsule	NS	NS	4.109	.045	NS	NS
In-posterior computation1503.63544.50TemporalLh-anterior limb of internal capsule1503.63544.50Lh-bankssts18.880.0005.848.017NSNSSplenium of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Lh-bankssts18.880.0045.439.021NSNSBody of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Lh-bankssts7.904.0064.544.035NSNSRh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-bankssts7.904.0064.544.035NSNSRh-tapetum594.65274.35Lh-entorhinalNSNSNSNSNSNSLh-corebral peduncle543.79204.66Rh-temporalpoleNSNSNSNS.012.0005.118.025Lh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle482.80134.40Rh-entorhinalNSNSNSNS.002.002NSNS.002Lh-superior corona radiata462.59119.14Occipital8.560.004NSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNS* Weighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipital8.560.004NS	Li banksis	151	3.64	549 64							
Interform of corpus callosum1123.78423.36Lh-bankssts18.880.0005.848.017NSNSBody of corpus callosum1113.16350.76Lh-middletemporal8.695.0045.439.021NSNSRh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-bankssts7.904.0064.544.035NSNSRh-tapetum594.65274.35Lh-entorhinalNSNS7.327.0085.118.025Lh-corebral peduncle543.79204.66Rh-temporalpoleNSNSNSNSNS.010NSNSNSLh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle482.80134.40Rh-entorhinalNSNSNSNS10.277.002Lh-superior corona radiata462.59119.14Occipital8.560.004NSNSNSNS* Weighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipital8.560.004NSNSNSNSNSNS* Weighting column calculated by as a comparative metric among regional effects119.1454.10.022NS <t< td=""><td>Lh-anterior limb of internal capsule</td><td>150</td><td>3.63</td><td>544 50</td><td>Temporal</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Lh-anterior limb of internal capsule	150	3.63	544 50	Temporal						
1113.16350.76Lh-middletemporal8.695.0045.439.021NSNSRh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-bankssts7.904.0064.544.035NSNSRh-tapetum594.65274.35Lh-entorhinalNSNS7.327.0085.118.025Lh-cerebral peduncle543.79204.66Rh-temporalpoleNSNSNSNSNSNSLh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle492.86140.14Rh-entorhinalNSNSNSNSNS10.277.002Lh-superior corona radiata462.59119.14OccipitalNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNS* Weighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipital8.560.004NSNSNSNSNSelue in the cluster and used as a comparative metric among regional effects5.410.022NS	Splenium of corpus callosum	112	3 78	423 36	Lh-bankssts	18.880	.000	5.848	.017	NS	NS
Rh-posterior corona radiata774.46343.42Rh-bankssts7.904.0064.544.035NSNSRh-tapetum594.65274.35Rh-middletemporal6.933.010NSNSNSNSNSLh-cerebral peduncle543.79204.66Lh-entorhinalNSNSNS7.327.0085.118.025Lh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle492.86140.14Rh-entorhinalNSNSNSNSNS.018Lh-superior corona radiata462.59119.14OccipitalNSNSNSNSNSNSNS* Weighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipital8.560.004NSNSNSNSNSValue in the cluster and used as a comparative metric among regional effects1.bateraloccipital5.410.022NSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNS	Body of corpus callosum	111	3.16	350.76	Lh-middletemporal	8.695	.004	5.439	.021	NS	NS
Rh-tapetum594.65274.35Rh-middletemporal6.933.010NSNSNSNSLh-cerebral peduncle543.79204.66Lh-entorhinalNSNS7.327.0085.118.025Lh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle492.86140.14Rh-temporalpoleNSNSNSNSNS5.698.018Body of corpus callosum482.80134.4014NSNSNSNSNSNS10.277.002Lh-superior corona radiata462.59119.14OccipitalNSNSNSNSNSNSNSVeighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipital8.560.004NSNSNSNSNSValue in the cluster, and used as a comparative metric among regional effectsUb-lateraloccipital5.410.022NSNSNSNSNSNS	Rh-posterior corona radiata	77	4.46	343.42	Rh-bankssts	7.904	.006	4.544	.035	NS	NS
Lh-cerebral peduncle543.79204.66Lh-entorhinalNSNS7.327.0085.118.025Lh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle492.86140.14Rh-temporalpoleNSNSNSNSNSNS5.698.018Body of corpus callosum482.80134.40NSNSNSNSNSNSNS10.277.002Lh-superior corona radiata462.59119.14OccipitalOccipital8.560.004NSNSNSNSNSVeighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum PRh-lateraloccipital8.560.004NSNSNSNSNSNSValue in the cluster and used as a comparative metric among regional effectsLh-lateraloccipital5.410.022NSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNS	Rh-tapetum	59	4.65	274.35	Rh-middletemporal	6.933	.010	NS	NS	NS	NS
Lh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle       49       2.86       140.14       Rh-temporalpole       NS       NS       NS       NS       S.       5.698       .018         Body of corpus callosum       48       2.80       134.40       Rh-temporalpole       NS       NS       NS       NS       NS       NS       10.277       .002         Lh-superior corona radiata       46       2.59       119.14       Occipital       Occipital       S.560       .004       NS	Lh-cerebral peduncle	54	3.79	204.66	Lh-entorhinal	NS	NS	7.327	.008	5.118	.025
Body of corpus callosum       48       2.80       134.40         Lh-superior corona radiata       46       2.59       119.14         * Weighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum P       Rh-atteraloccipital       8.560       .004       NS	Lh-corticospinal tract/cerebral peduncle	49	2.86	140.14	Rh-temporalpole	NS	NS	NS	NS	5.698	.018
Lh-superior corona radiata     46     2.59     119.14     Occipital       * Weighting column calculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum P     Rh-lateraloccipital     8.560     .004     NS     NS     NS       value in the cluster and used as a comparative metric among regional effects     Ib-lateraloccipital     5.410     0.22     NS     NS     NS     NS	Body of corpus callosum	48	2.80	134.40	Rh-entorhinal	NS	NS	NS	NS	10.277	.002
<i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>S.560</i> .004 NS NS NS NS <i>calle in the cluster, and used as a comparative metric among regional effects</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>S.560</i> .004 NS NS NS NS <i>Cccpital</i> <i>S.560</i> .004 NS NS NS NS <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>S.560</i> .004 NS NS NS NS <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital</i> <i>Cccpital <i>Cccpital <i>Cccpital <i>Cccpital <i>Cccpital <i>Cccp</i></i></i></i></i></i>	Lh-superior corona radiata	46	2.59	119.14							
vergnung commin carculated by multiplication of the cluster size by minimum r KN-lateraloccipital 8.560 .004 NS NS NS NS value in the cluster and used as a comparative metric among regional effects	* Maighting column coloriated by multi-	lication	f the cluster size 1	minimum D	Occipital Ph. lateraleccipital	0 500	004	NC	NC	NC	NC
	weighting column calculated by multip	ncation o	ic among regional of	focts	KII-IALETAIOCCIPITAI	0.00U 5 /10	.004	INS NS	IND NS	INS NS	IND NS

MABP and white matter microstructure. The influence of each of these parameters on FA within the anterior callosum is presented in Fig. 4 along with the whole brain maps demonstrating the effect of

including white matter hypointensities as a nuisance covariate in the model of the association between MABP and DTI measures (Fig. 4).

NS = not significant.



Fig. 4. Influence of health and demographic variables on the association between MABP and white matter microstructural measures. Scatterplots in the left panel demonstrate the association between mean fractional anisotropy in the anterior corpus callosum and MABP, total white matter hypointensity volume, smoking status, gender, body mass index, and education. When entered into the model as nuisance variables, only white matter hypointensity volume had an impressionable impact on the association between MABP and white matter microstructure as demonstrated in the right panel compared to the results in Fig. 3.

#### Spatial overlap of MABP effects with white matter hypointensities (WMH)

White matter lesions are a common feature in individuals with hypertension and cerebrovascular disease and statistically controlling for white matter hypointensity volume influenced the association between MABP and DTI measures (Fig. 4). In order to determine whether the associations between MABP and white matter integrity had a similar spatial distribution to white matter lesions, the spatial frequencies of white matter hypointensities were mapped to the TBSS standard space, allowing a simple qualitative co-visualization of the lesions with the MABP significance maps to determine whether the effects measured were simply within regions of high lesion frequency. Spatial frequencies of WMH can be seen in Fig. 5. WMH frequency maps were thresholded to demonstrate regions where  $\geq$  30 participants demonstrated labeling. These maps demonstrate that, although there was some overlap between regions with white matter lesions and the regions impacted by MABP, many of the regional associations were outside of this zone of overlap. A portion of the significance regions directly bordered white matter lesions; however, other clusters were remote to the common periventricular location of white matter damage. This finding plus the finding that associations remain between MABP and DTI measures when controlling for hypointensity volume support the unique association between blood pressure and microstructural alterations.

#### Associations in normotensive and controlled/pre-hypertensive individuals

We performed the same analyses as described above while limiting the sample to those participants who were normotensive and controlled/pre-hypertensive only. Fig. 6 demonstrates the spatial overlap of the analyses performed in these two samples (p<0.05). There was strong overlap in the significance maps of the full sample and the truncated MABP sample. Significance clusters in the larger sample were expanded relative to the truncated sample, and extended more posteriorly than the effects seen in the truncated sample. Additionally, of the 37 identified clusters for the full sample analysis, all cluster remained significant when examining the association between MABP and FA for each cluster in the sample limited to individuals with a systolic blood pressure of <140. A minimal set of regions showed an effect in the MABP restricted range that was not apparent in the full sample.

#### Effect of medication on MABP associations

Fig. 7 presents a transparent representation of the brain to demonstrate the location of major clusters from the voxel-based analyses showing significant associations between MABP and FA  $(p<0.01; min cluster size of 60 mm^3)$  as well as the raw data from selected clusters with the sample differentially represented based on medication usage. This representation highlights the potential vulnerability of frontal and parietal white matter to elevated blood pressure. Additionally, as demonstrated in the scatterplots, individuals on medication had similar slopes compared to individuals that were not on medication in some regions, however, there were significant MABP by medication status interactions in other regions, with some effects suggesting a reduced association in individuals on blood pressure medication compared to those that were not. A total of 37 clusters showing significant effects of MABP on FA were examined and approximately 30% of these clusters demonstrated a significant interaction for medication (p < 0.05).

#### Fiber anatomy of regions associated with MABP

To determine the white matter fascicles intersecting regions demonstrating an association between MABP and FA, we utilized significance clusters from the TBSS based maps as streamline



**Fig. 5.** Spatial overlap between white matter signal abnormalities (WMSA) and regions showing associations between mean arterial blood pressure (MABP) and diffusion metrics. Although spatial overlap was apparent, the majority of regions showing associations between MABP and fractional anisotropy (FA) were located outside of WMSA in regions bordering white matter lesions as well as more peripheral white matter.



**Fig. 6.** The association between MABP and FA in a sample limited to normotensive and controlled/mildly hypertensive individuals. Regions showing significance in low risk individuals (yellow/green) were similar to analyses including the entire sample (blue/green), demonstrating that the effects observed were not primarily driven by individuals in the extreme ranges of blood pressure. Thus, blood pressure may exert an influence on neural tissue within ranges considered within normal variation.



Fig. 7. Selected regional associations between white matter measures and MABP. Regional cluster showing greatest statistical associations between MABP and fractional anisotropy (FA) are displayed in a translucent representation of the brain. Associations were found through widespread regions of the cerebral white matter with prominent effects in frontal and parietal white matter. Selected regional scatterplots showing the association between MABP and FA. The sample is divided based on medication usage with medicated individuals represented by red circles, and non-medicated individuals represented by blue triangles. Regions: A. left precentral white matter; B. left lateral parietal white matter; C. left precuneus white matter; D. right lateral parietal white matter; E. right postcentral white matter.

tractography seeds in a representative healthy brain. Fig. 8 is the result of this modeling and demonstrates fibers intersecting regions showing significant associations including the corona radiata, the pyramidal tracts, the cingulum, and the optic radiations. This representation highlights the widespread complex fiber architecture that may be impacted by vascular health, as well as the relative sparing of temporal lobe fiber integrity.

ropv

Anisot

onal

Fracti

lean

2 D

Anisot

Fractinal

#### Discussion

The present study demonstrated regional associations between inter-individual variation in MABP and diffusion metrics of white matter integrity throughout the cerebral white matter in a large sample of generally healthy older adults. Effects were prominent in frontal and parietal white matter, were independent of age, remained after



**Fig. 8.** Diffusion tractography qualitatively demonstrating white matter fascicles potentially affected by blood pressure. Regions of demonstrating a statistically significant association between MABP and FA were clustered and entered into streamline tractography procedures to qualitatively demonstrate the fiber populations that intersected with regions showing an association between MABP and FA. This image demonstrates the potential widespread effects of blood pressure on cerebral health, however it is also worthy of note that fibers within the temporal lobe may be relatively spared compared to fibers in frontal and parietal cortices.

controlling for white matter lesions, and were apparent when the sample was limited to individuals who were either normotensive or controlled and/or pre-hypertensive. It is of note that, although much of the effect was apparent in individuals within the mild risk range, the regional distribution of effects of MABP on DTI measures was expanded with the inclusion of individuals in the more severe range. These results are significant on two major levels. First, they demonstrate that vascular health may have a substantial impact on neural health, even in individuals outside of the hypertensive range, emphasizing the importance of considering measures like MABP more generally in studies of cognitive and neural aging. Second, these data may provide essential information about the optimal clinical management of blood pressure with regard to neural health in older adults. Few prior studies have explored the quantitative association between blood pressure and white matter integrity in a normative population (Kennedy and Raz, 2009b; Leritz et al., 2010). Additionally, recent work demonstrated specific regional patterns by which blood pressure may affect white matter to contribute to geriatric depression (Hoptman et al., 2009). The current data are in concordance with these prior studies demonstrating that systemic measures of vascular function are associated with the integrity of anterior white matter. We extend these results to demonstrate the full spatial patterns of associations between blood pressure and white matter integrity measured by DTI, and the spatial associations relative to the more overt periventricular white matter lesions typically apparent in high risk and disease populations. Similarly, controlling for total white matter lesion volume reduced the effects measured, yet regional effects remained, for example, in the anterior corpus callosum. We note, however, that white matter lesions were measured as hypointensities from T1 weighted images

and therefore may not account for the full spatial distribution of white matter lesions in this sample. Taken together, these studies express the importance of continued work to determine the unique mechanisms by which blood pressure variation may influence neural tissue degradation.

Our study found associations between MABP and the axial as well as the radial components of diffusivity. Axial diffusivity represents diffusion along the primary directional vector, and has been suggested to be sensitive to axonal disruption (Budde et al., 2009). This metric was associated with MABP to a lesser degree than FA, and primarily showed associations in periventricular, orbitofrontal, and medial temporal regions. In contrast, radial diffusivity represents diffusion in the non-primary directional vectors, and has been suggested to be altered more selectively with damage to myelin (Sun et al., 2006). Associations between MABP and radial diffusivity had a similar spatial pattern to the regions showing associations between MABP and FA with additional results in orbitofrontal regions. These findings may suggest that vascular health influences white matter microstructure through multiple pathologic mechanisms. For example, damage to the myelin could be due to ischemic perturbations, while fibers may only be affected with more substantial pathologies that occur with greater vascular risk. However, limitations of the interpretation of results from diffusion imaging have been noted (Wheeler-Kingshott and Cercignani, 2009). Additionally, examination of the effects of MABP on the distinct diffusivities suggests some differential sensitivity based on initial anatomy, with axial diffusivity potentially being more sensitive to changes in regions with low native FA. It is thus unclear what mechanisms may contribute to associations between MABP and DTI-based white matter integrity at this time.

MABP was related to diffusion measures in regions that bordered on the well described periventricular white matter lesions that have been found to be related to hypertension. Associations were also found in more peripheral regions of white matter, outside of common locations of white matter damage. Additionally, associations between MABP and DTI measures remained when controlling for white matter hypointensity volume. The lack of a bimodal distribution of white matter values in our selected regions suggests that MABP influences white matter in a manner that is distinct to a more large-scale event such as a micro hemorrhage, stroke or other lesion. These findings suggest that a portion of the associations found in the current work represent a pre-lesional state of tissue bordering on lesion locations that will potentially expand with continued changes in health status and that these regional effects may differ from effects in areas remote to the periventricular zone that are much less likely to become overtly lesioned. Such effects have been discussed in prior work with regard to lesions from multiple sclerosis (Werring et al., 2000). Ongoing work will examine in greater detail the relationship between the manifestation of white matter lesions and the health of white matter outside of lesion locations as well as the pathologic heterogeneity of white matter lesions in older adults.

The present data demonstrate a reduction in white matter integrity with increasing blood pressure, regardless of overt hypertension. It is possible that increased blood pressure influences white matter regionally through the increased likelihood of local ischemia (Pantoni and Garcia, 1997), and that although one may not be in the range of high risk for a cerebrovascular event, increased blood pressure can still have this more subtle influence on neural tissue. Effects were found in regions intersecting several major fiber fascicles including the several regions along the corona radiata, the pyramidal tracts, the cingulum, and the optic radiations with a relative sparing of temporal lobe fibers (Fig. 8). Similar systems have been suggested to be most vulnerable to the effects of aging in contrast to the more limbic effects of Alzheimer's disease, and these findings lend greater support to the idea that vascular health is a major component of general neural aging. These data demonstrate the potentially broad, yet selective neural implications of elevated blood pressure on brain structure, and the neurophysiologic and cognitive consequences of elevated blood pressure in the low-risk population are yet to be elucidated.

The current data are limited and must be interpreted with caution. The cohort examined was not a normative population. The majority of participants had a first-degree relative with dementia, and a substantial portion of the cohort was composed of African Americans, a population known to be at increased risk for cerebrovascular disease. It is therefore possible that, blood pressure may have an amplified effect in this population, regardless of the fact that individuals are normotensive. If this were true, this finding would be of great interest in that the sample provides a unique population to uncover effects that may have been missed in prior analyses due to the subtle but significant impact on white matter structure. Additionally, although this was partially an 'at risk' population, the sample was recruited through advertisement and was composed of a majority of individuals from the Boston metropolitan area who may differ in their blood pressure and MMSE scores compared to similar cohorts. The generalization of such results to other studies can therefore not be assumed. A portion of the effects measured were replicated across two independent samples examined with similar demographic profiles, and we note that our recent data demonstrates associations between MABP and DTI-based white matter measures in a more general community sample of older adults. Additionally, medication was determined not to have a major influence on specific regional associations in this work, however, a portion of the effects showed statistical interactions with those on medication showing less of an association than those that were not taking medication. This trend could be due to the fact that individuals on medication had MABP values that were less reflective of their lifetime exposure compared to those that were not. Alternatively and potentially more interesting, it is possible that blood pressure medication has a therapeutic influence on white matter damage, therefore reducing the association between MABP and tissue structure. The influence of medication and pre-medication blood pressure will therefore need to be further examined in a larger sample of participants. It is important to note that the effects described here are only associational and cross-sectional. It is therefore not possible to determine if there is a causal link between elevated blood pressure and white matter damage. Ongoing work is examining how baseline MABP predicts future changes in white matter integrity, and these analyses should provide valuable information in this regard.

In summary, these data demonstrate the association between blood pressure, a measure of systemic vascular health, and diffusion metrics of white matter integrity. Associations were independent of age and medication status, were partially replicable across two independent samples, and were apparent when limiting the sample to individuals with only mildly elevated blood pressure. These findings suggest that dysregulation of blood pressure may have an important impact on neural integrity in older adults, even when in the normal range with regard to cerebrovascular risk. Future work will examine the clinical implications of these findings.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by grants from the National Institute of Nursing Research (R01NR010827), National Institute of Neurologic Disorders and Stroke (K23NS062148 and ARRA funds K23NS062148S1), grants from the National Institute on Aging (P60AG08812 and K01AG24898), and by Medical Research Service VA Merit Review Awards to William Milberg and Regina McGlinchey. The authors would like to thank Marge Ahlquist for her assistance with blood pressure collection on all participants and Juli Dolzhenko for assistance in preparation of this manuscript. We also thank Ruopeng Wang, Van J. Wedeen, TrackVis.org, Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massa-chusetts General Hospital for the development of TrackVis software used for tractography procedures.

#### References

- Andersson, J.L.R., Jenkinson, M., Smith, S., 2007a. Non-linear optimisationFMRIB technical report TR07JA1 from www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/analysis/techrep2007.
- Andersson, J.L.R., Jenkinson, M., Smith, S., 2007b. Non-linear registration, aka spatial normalisationFMRIB technical report TR07JA2 from www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/analysis/ techrep2007.
- Barrick, T.R., Charlton, R.A., Clark, C.A., Markus, H.S., 2010. White matter structural decline in normal ageing: a prospective longitudinal study using tract-based spatial statistics. Neuroimage 51, 565–577.
- Basser, P.J., Mattiello, J., LeBihan, D., 1994. Estimation of the effective self-diffusion tensor from the NMR spin echo. J. Magn. Reson. B 103, 247–254.
- Bastin, M.E., Clayden, J.D., Pattie, A., Gerrish, I.F., Wardlaw, J.M., Deary, I.J., 2009. Diffusion tensor and magnetization transfer MRI measurements of periventricular white matter hyperintensities in old age. Neurobiol. Aging 30, 125–136.
- Benedetti, B., Charil, A., Rovaris, M., Judica, E., Valsasina, P., Sormani, M.P., Filippi, M., 2006. Influence of aging on brain gray and white matter changes assessed by conventional, MT, and DT MRI. Neurology 66, 535–539.
- Bohnstedt, M., Fox, P.J., Kohatsu, N.D., 1994. Correlates of mini-mental status examination scores among elderly demented patients: the influence of raceethnicity. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 47, 1381–1387.
- Breteler, M.M., van Swieten, J.C., Bots, M.L., Grobbee, D.E., Claus, J.J., van den Hout, J.H., van Harskamp, F., Tanghe, H.L., de Jong, P.T., van Gijn, J., et al., 1994. Cerebral white matter lesions, vascular risk factors, and cognitive function in a population-based study: the Rotterdam Study. Neurology 44, 1246–1252.
- Budde, M.D., Xie, M., Cross, A.H., Song, S.K., 2009. Axial diffusivity is the primary correlate of axonal injury in the experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis spinal cord: a quantitative pixelwise analysis. J. Neurosci. 29, 2805–2813.
- Chobanian, A.V., Bakris, G.L., Black, H.R., Cushman, W.C., Green, L.A., Izzo Jr., J.L., Jones, D.W., Materson, B.J., Oparil, S., Wright Jr., J.T., Roccella, E.J., 2003. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. JAMA 289, 2560–2572.
- D'Agostino, R.B., Wolf, P.A., Belanger, A.J., Kannel, W.B., 1994. Stroke risk profile: adjustment for antihypertensive medication. The Framingham Study. Stroke 25, 40–43.

- de Laat, K.F., van Norden, A.G., Gons, R.A., van Oudheusden, L.J., van Uden, I.W., Norris, D.G., Zwiers, M.P., de Leeuw, F.E., 2011. Diffusion tensor imaging and gait in elderly persons with cerebral small vessel disease. Stroke 42, 373–379.
- DeCarli, C., Murphy, D.G., Tranh, M., Grady, C.L., Haxby, J.V., Gillette, J.A., Salerno, J.A., Gonzales-Aviles, A., Horwitz, B., Rapoport, S.I., et al., 1995. The effect of white matter hyperintensity volume on brain structure, cognitive performance, and cerebral metabolism of glucose in 51 healthy adults. Neurology 45, 2077–2084.
- Desikan, R.S., Segonne, F., Fischl, B., Quinn, B.T., Dickerson, B.C., Blacker, D., Buckner, R.L., Dale, A.M., Maguire, R.P., Hyman, B.T., Albert, M.S., Killiany, R.J., 2006. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. Neuroimage 31, 968–980.
- Fischl, B., Salat, D.H., Busa, E., Albert, M., Dieterich, M., Haselgrove, C., van der Kouwe, A., Killiany, R., Kennedy, D., Klaveness, S., Montillo, A., Makris, N., Rosen, B., Dale, A.M., 2002. Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. Neuron 33, 341–355.
- Fortuny, L.A., Briggs, M., Newcombe, F., Ratcliff, G., Thomas, C., 1980. Measuring the duration of post traumatic amnesia. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 43, 377–379. Goldstein, I.B., Bartzokis, G., Hance, D.B., Shapiro, D., 1998. Relationship between blood
- pressure and subcortical lesions in healthy elderly people. Stroke 29, 765–772. Gons, R.A., de Laat, K.F., van Norden, A.G., van Oudheusden, L.J., van Uden, I.W., Norris,
- D.G., Zwiers, M.P., de Leeuw, F.E., 2010. Hypertension and cerebral diffusion tensor imaging in small vessel disease. Stroke 41, 2801–2806.
- Greve, D.N., Fischl, B., 2009. Accurate and robust brain image alignment using boundary-based registration. Neuroimage 48, 63–72.
- Hannesdottir, K., Nitkunan, A., Charlton, R.A., Barrick, T.R., MacGregor, G.A., Markus, H.S., 2009. Cognitive impairment and white matter damage in hypertension: a pilot study. Acta Neurol. Scand. 119, 261–268.
- He, W., Sengupta, M., Velkoff, V.A., DeBarros, K.A., 2005. 65+ in the United States: 2005. Current Population Reports. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; National Institutes of Health, National Institutes on Aging.
- Hoptman, M.J., Gunning-Dixon, F.M., Murphy, C.F., Ardekani, B.A., Hrabe, J., Lim, K.O., Etwaroo, G.R., Kanellopoulos, D., Alexopoulos, G.S., 2009. Blood pressure and white matter integrity in geriatric depression. J. Affect. Disord. 115, 171–176.
- Jeerakathil, T., Wolf, P.A., Beiser, A., Massaro, J., Seshadri, S., D'Agostino, R.B., DeCarli, C., 2004. Stroke risk profile predicts white matter hyperintensity volume: the Framingham Study. Stroke 35, 1857–1861.
- Jones, D.K., Horsfield, M.A., Simmons, A., 1999. Optimal strategies for measuring diffusion in anisotropic systems by magnetic resonance imaging. Magn. Reson. Med. 42, 515–525.
- Kennedy, K.M., Raz, N., 2009a. Aging white matter and cognition: differential effects of regional variations in diffusion properties on memory, executive functions, and speed. Neuropsychologia 47, 916–927.
- Kennedy, K.M., Raz, N., 2009b. Pattern of normal age-related regional differences in white matter microstructure is modified by vascular risk. Brain Res. 1297, 41–56.
- Lee, D.Y., Fletcher, E., Martinez, O., Ortega, M., Zozulya, N., Kim, J., Tran, J., Buonocore, M., Carmichael, O., DeCarli, C., 2009. Regional pattern of white matter microstructural changes in normal aging, MCI, and AD. Neurology 73, 1722–1728.
- Leritz, E.C., Salat, D.H., Milberg, W.P., Williams, V.J., Chapman, C.E., Grande, L.J., Rudolph, J.L., Schnyer, D.M., Barber, C.E., Lipsitz, L.A., McGlinchey, R.E., 2010. Variation in blood pressure is associated with white matter microstructure but not cognition in African Americans. Neuropsychology 24, 199–208.
- Leritz, E.C., Salat, D.H., Williams, V.J., Schnyer, D.M., Rudolph, J.L., Lipsitz, L., Fischl, B., McGlinchey, R.E., Milberg, W.P., 2011. Thickness of the human cerebral cortex is associated with metrics of cerebrovascular health in a normative sample of community dwelling older adults. Neuroimage 54 (4) (Feb 14), 2659–2671 (PMID:21035552).
- Longstreth Jr., W.T., Manolio, T.A., Arnold, A., Burke, G.L., Bryan, N., Jungreis, C.A., Enright, P.L., O'Leary, D., Fried, L., 1996. Clinical correlates of white matter findings on cranial magnetic resonance imaging of 3301 elderly people. The Cardiovascular Health Study. Stroke 27, 1274–1282.
- Manolio, T.A., Olson, J., Longstreth, W.T., 2003. Hypertension and cognitive function: pathophysiologic effects of hypertension on the brain. Curr. Hypertens. Rep. 5, 255–261. O'Sullivan, M., 2010. Imaging small vessel disease: lesion topography, networks, and
- cognitive deficits investigated with MRI. Stroke 41, S154–S158. O'Sullivan, M., Jones, D.K., Summers, P.E., Morris, R.G., Williams, S.C., Markus, H.S., 2001.
- Evidence for cortical "disconnection" as a mechanism of age-related cognitive decline. Neurology 57, 632–638.
- Patel, B., Markus, H.S., 2011. Magnetic resonance imaging in cerebral small vessel disease and its use as a surrogate disease marker. Int. J. Stroke 6, 47–59.
- Pantoni, L., Garcia, J.H., 1997. Pathogenesis of leukoaraiosis: a review. Stroke 28 (3) (Mar), 652–659 (Review. PMID:9056627).

- Pfefferbaum, A., Sullivan, E.V., Hedehus, M., Lim, K.O., Adalsteinsson, E., Moseley, M., 2000. Age-related decline in brain white matter anisotropy measured with spatially corrected echo-planar diffusion tensor imaging. Magn. Reson. Med. 44, 259–268.
- Pierpaoli, C., Righini, A., Linfante, I., Tao-Cheng, J.H., Alger, J.R., Di Chiro, G., 1993. Histopathologic correlates of abnormal water diffusion in cerebral ischemia: diffusion-weighted MR imaging and light and electron microscopic study. Radiology 189, 439–448.
- Pierpaoli, C., Alger, J.R., Righini, A., Mattiello, J., Dickerson, R., Des Pres, D., Barnett, A., Di Chiro, G., 1996. High temporal resolution diffusion MRI of global cerebral ischemia and reperfusion. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 16, 892–905.
- Raz, N., Rodrigue, K.M., Acker, J.D., 2003. Hypertension and the brain: vulnerability of the prefrontal regions and executive functions. Behav. Neurosci. 117, 1169–1180.
- Raz, N., Lindenberger, U., Rodrigue, K.M., Kennedy, K.M., Head, D., Williamson, A., Dahle, C., Gerstorf, D., Acker, J.D., 2005. Regional brain changes in aging healthy adults: general trends, individual differences and modifiers. Cereb. Cortex 15, 1676–1689.
- Reese, T.G., Heid, O., Weisskoff, R.M., Wedeen, V.J., 2003. Reduction of eddy-currentinduced distortion in diffusion MRI using a twice-refocused spin echo. Magn. Reson. Med. 49, 177–182.
- Rueckert, D., Sonoda, L.I., Hayes, C., Hill, D.L., Leach, M.O., Hawkes, D.J., 1999. Nonrigid registration using free-form deformations: application to breast MR images. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 18, 712–721.
- Salat, D.H., Tuch, D.S., Greve, D.N., van der Kouwe, A.J., Hevelone, N.D., Zaleta, A.K., Rosen, B.R., Fischl, B., Corkin, S., Rosas, H.D., Dale, A.M., 2005a. Age-related alterations in white matter microstructure measured by diffusion tensor imaging. Neurobiol. Aging 26, 1215–1227.
- Salat, D.H., Tuch, D.S., Hevelone, N.D., Fischl, B., Corkin, S., Rosas, H.D., Dale, A.M., 2005b. Age-related changes in prefrontal white matter measured by diffusion tensor imaging. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1064, 37–49.
- Salat, D.H., Greve, D.N., Pacheco, J.L., Quinn, B.T., Helmer, K.G., Buckner, R.L., Fischl, B., 2009. Regional white matter volume differences in nondemented aging and Alzheimer's disease. Neuroimage 44, 1247–1258.
- Salat, D.H., Tuch, D.S., van der Kouwe, A.J., Greve, D.N., Pappu, V., Lee, S.Y., Hevelone, N.D., Zaleta, A.K., Growdon, J.H., Corkin, S., Fischl, B., Rosas, H.D., 2010. White matter pathology isolates the hippocampal formation in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 31, 244–256.
- Seshadri, S., Wolf, P.A., Beiser, A., Elias, M.F., Au, R., Kase, C.S., D'Agostino, R.B., DeCarli, C., 2004. Stroke risk profile, brain volume, and cognitive function: the Framingham Offspring Study. Neurology 63, 1591–1599.
- Smith, S.M., 2002. Fast robust automated brain extraction. Hum. Brain Mapp. 17, 143–155.
- Smith, S.M., Jenkinson, M., Woolrich, M.W., Beckmann, C.F., Behrens, T.E., Johansen-Berg, H., Bannister, P.R., De Luca, M., Drobnjak, I., Flitney, D.E., Niazy, R.K., Saunders, J., Vickers, J., Zhang, Y., De Stefano, N., Brady, J.M., Matthews, P.M., 2004. Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. Neuroimage 23 (Suppl. 1), S208–S219.
- Smith, S.M., Jenkinson, M., Johansen-Berg, H., Rueckert, D., Nichols, T.E., Mackay, C.E., Watkins, K.E., Ciccarelli, O., Cader, M.Z., Matthews, P.M., Behrens, T.E., 2006. Tractbased spatial statistics: voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. Neuroimage 31, 1487–1505.
- Sullivan, E.V., Adalsteinsson, E., Hedehus, M., Ju, C., Moseley, M., Lim, K.O., Pfefferbaum, A., 2001. Equivalent disruption of regional white matter microstructure in ageing healthy men and women. Neuroreport 12, 99–104.
- Sun, S.W., Liang, H.F., Trinkaus, K., Cross, A.H., Armstrong, R.C., Song, S.K., 2006. Noninvasive detection of cuprizone induced axonal damage and demyelination in the mouse corpus callosum. Magn. Reson. Med. 55, 302–308.
- Swan, G.E., DeCarli, C., Miller, B.L., Reed, T., Wolf, P.A., Jack, L.M., Carmelli, D., 1998. Association of midlife blood pressure to late-life cognitive decline and brain morphology. Neurology 51, 986–993.
- Virta, A., Barnett, A., Pierpaoli, C., 1999. Visualizing and characterizing white matter fiber structure and architecture in the human pyramidal tract using diffusion tensor MRI. Magn. Reson. Imaging 17, 1121–1133.
- Werring, D.J., Brassat, D., Droogan, A.G., Clark, C.A., Symms, M.R., Barker, G.J., MacManus, D.G., Thompson, A.J., Miller, D.H., 2000. The pathogenesis of lesions and normal-appearing white matter changes in multiple sclerosis: a serial diffusion MRI study. Brain 123 (Pt 8), 1667–1676.
- Wheeler-Kingshott, C.A., Cercignani, M., 2009. About "axial" and "radial" diffusivities. Magn. Reson. Med. 61, 1255–1260.
- Yoshita, M., Fletcher, E., Harvey, D., Ortega, M., Martinez, O., Mungas, D.M., Reed, B.R., DeCarli, C.S., 2006. Extent and distribution of white matter hyperintensities in normal aging, MCI, and AD. Neurology 67, 2192–2198.